## THE JOURNAL

#### OF THE

# American Chemical Society

#### with which has been incorporated the

## American Chemical Journal

(Founded by Ira Remsen)

### REPORT OF THE INTERNATIONAL COMMITTEE ON ATOMIC WEIGHTS FOR 1920-21.

Received July 22, 1920.

Since the report of this committee for the preceding year was prepared, only a few new determinations of atomic weights have been published. They may be summarized as follows.

**Fluorine.**—Moles and Batuecas<sup>1</sup> have made 23 determinations of the density of methyl fluoride,  $CH_3F$ . For the weight of a normal liter of the gas they find, in mean, 1.54542 g. From this the molecular weight, 34.024 is deduced, and F = 18.996. This rounded off to 19, is the value already accepted.

Silicon.—Baxter, Weatherill and Holmes,<sup>2</sup> from analyses of silicon tetrachloride, find Si = 28.111. This determination, however, is not regarded by the authors as final. Its acceptance, therefore, must await confirmation, especially as the value is much lower than that given in the present table of atomic weights.

Lead.—The atomic weight of isotopic lead from thorium minerals has been determined by Hönigschmid.<sup>3</sup> For lead from Norwegian thorite he finds Pb = 207.88 to 207.92. For lead from Ceylonese thorianite,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> J. chim. phys., 17, 538 (1919).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Baxter, Weatherill and Holmes, THIS JOURNAL, 42, 1194 (1920).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Hönigschmid, Z. Elektrochem., 25, 91 (1919).

Pb = 206.88 to 207.24. In thorianite there is evidently a mixture of isotopes, and perhaps also of normal lead.

Richards and Sameshima<sup>1</sup> have examined lead from a radioactive Japanese mineral. The values found for the atomic weight were 207.11, 207.12 and 207.15. For ordinary lead the corresponding value was 207.184. The Japanese material, evidently, contained very little isotopic lead.

Tin.—In two papers Brauner and Krepelka<sup>2</sup> give new determinations of the atomic weight of tin based upon analyses of the tetrabromide. In the first paper, which is preliminary, they find Sn = 118.70. In the second, by Krepelka alone, the value Sn = 118.699. This confirms the earlier determinations by Briscoe and by Baxter and Starkweather. The work of the last named chemists appears in full in the same number of the IOURNAL. It was noticed in our last report from a preliminary publication.

Tellurium.—From analyses of the hydride,  $TeH_2$ , Bruylants and Michielsen<sup>3</sup> find for tellurium the value Te = 127.73, 127.79, and 127.4. The last value they reject. As these determinations are preliminary they need no further consideration now.

Scandium.—Meyer and Schweig,<sup>4</sup> after a study of methods for the purification of their material, give determinations of the atomic weight of scandium by the usual sulfate method. They found Sc = 45.03-45.37, in mean 45.23, but conclude that the method is untrustworthy. Hönigschmid,<sup>5</sup> with some of the same material, prepared scandium bromide and determined its ratio to silver. In 18 concordant analyses he found Sc = 45.099, which may be rounded off to 45.1. This value is accepted by Meyer and Schweig, and should be adopted.

Samarium.—The atomic weight of samarium has been determined by Owens, Balke and Kremers<sup>6</sup> by analyses of the anhydrous chloride. From the mean of 18 concordant analyses, Sa = 150.43, in close agreement with the determinations by Stewart and James, 150.44, which was noticed in our report of last year.

Apart from the actual determination of atomic weights 3 papers relative to the reduction of the experimental data deserve attention. Guye,<sup>7</sup> in one paper, has discussed the application of Avogadro's law to this problem. In a second paper<sup>8</sup> he has examined the data relative to bro-

<sup>1</sup> Richards and Sameshima, THIS JOURNAL, 42, 929 (1920).

<sup>2</sup> Brauner and Krepelka; Krepelka, *ibid.*, **42**, 917, 928 (1920).

<sup>3</sup> Bruylants and Michielsen, Bull. Acad. Belg., Classe des Sciences, Nos. 2-3, 119 (1919).

<sup>4</sup> Meyer and Schweig, Z. anorg. allgem. Chem., 108, 303 (1919).

<sup>5</sup> Hönigschmid, Z. Elektrochem., 25, 93 (1919).

<sup>6</sup> Owens, Balke and Kremers, This JOURNAL, 42, 515 (1920).

7 Guye, J. chim. phys., 17, 171 (1919).

<sup>8</sup> Guye, *ibid.*, 17, 141 (1919).

mine and silver, and concludes that Br = 79.92 and Ag = 107.87. The last figure differs from the value 107.88, as given in the table by only 0.01, which is quite within the limits of experimental uncertainty. The third paper, by Van Laar,<sup>1</sup> relates to the method of calculating atomic weights.

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC WEIGHTS, 1921.

INTERNATIONAL ATOMIC WRIGHTS, 1921.			
Symbol.	Atomic weight.	Symbol.	Atomic weight.
AluminumAl	27.I	MolybdenumMo	96.0
AntimonySb	120.2	NeodymiumNd	144.3
ArgonA	39.9	NeonNe	20.2
ArsenicAs	74.96	NickelNi	58.68
BariumBa	137.37	Niton (radium emanation)Nt	222.4
BismuthBi	208.0	NitrogenN	14.008
BoronB	10.9	OsmiumOs	190.9
BromineBr	79.92	OxygenO	16.00
CadmiumCd	112.40	PalladiumPd	106.7
CalciumCa	40.07	PhosphorusP	31.04
CarbonC	12.005	PlatinumPt	195.2
CeriumCe	140.25	PotassiumK	39.10
CesiumCs	132.81	PraseodymiumPr	140.9
ChlorineCl	35.46	RadiumRa	226.0
ChromiumCr	52.0	RhodiumRh	102.9
CobaltCo	58.97	RubidiumRb	85.45
ColumbiumCb	93.I	RutheniumRu	101.7
CopperCu	63.57	SamariumSa	150.4
DysprosiumDy	162.5	ScandiumSc	45.I
ErbiumEr	167.7	SeleniumSe	79.2
EuropiumEu	152.0	SiliconSi	28.3
FluorineF	19.0	SilverAg	107.88
GadoliniumGd	157.3	SodiumNa	23.00
GalliumGa	70.1	StrontiumSr	87.63
GermaniumGe	72.5	SulfurS	32.06
GlucinumGl	9.I	TantalumTa	181.5
GoldAu	197.2	TelluriumTe	127.5
HeliumHe	4.00	TerbiumTb	159.2
HolmiumHo	163.5	ThalliumTl	204.0
HydrogenH	1.008	ThoriumTh	232.15
IndiumIn	114.8	ThuliumTm	168.5
IodineI	126.92	TinSn	118.7
IridiumIr	193.1	Titanium	48.1
IronFe	55.84	TungstenW	184.0
KryptonKr	82.92	UraniumU	238.2
LanthanumLa	139.0	$Vanadium \dots V$	51.0
LeadPb	207.20	XenonXe	130.2
LithiumLi	6.94	Ytterbium (Neoytterbium) Yb	173.5
LuteciumLu	175.0	YttriumYt	89.33
MagnesiumMg	24.32	ZincZn	65.37
ManganeseMn	54.93	ZirconiumZr	90.6
MercuryHg	200.6		

<sup>1</sup> Van<sup>a</sup>Laar, J. chim. phys., 17, 266 (1919).

1763

The only change in the table of atomic weights is in the case of scandium; from 44.1 to 45.1; which appears to be fully justified by the evidence.

Signed,

F. W. CLARKE, T. E. THORPE.

 $\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}$ 

G. URBAIN.

[CONTRIBUTION FROM THE CHEMICAL LABORATORIES OF NEW HAMPSHIRE COLLEGE.]

#### A NEW METHOD FOR THE DETERMINATION OF ZIRCONIUM.

BY MELVIN M. SMITH AND C. JAMES.

Received August 16, 1919.

The increased commercial development of zirconium and its compounds during the last two or three years has called for far more accurate determinations of the dioxide than nearly all the older methods would give. Heretofore, in practically every instance the study of the quantitative separation of an element, keeps ahead of its industrial application. It seems reasonable to state, in the case of the element under discussion, that, up to the present time, there has been only one method, that employing nitroso-phenylhydroxylamine (cupferron), which has given absolutely reliable results.

Sodium thiosulfate has probably been the precipitant most commonly employed until comparatively recently. This method separates zirconium from iron very nicely when carried out under the proper conditions. However, since thorium, aluminum, titanium and phosphoric acid were precipitated by this reagent also, the results obtained were often as much as several per cent. too high.

Precipitation as the phosphate by sodium phosphate in the presence of hydrogen peroxide possesses an advantage in that titanium is held up. A considerable excess of hydrogen peroxide must be present and a second precipitation is advised. Unfortunately the solubility of the phosphate is affected by sulfuric acid; the second precipitation involves several tedious operations and the precipitate is not any too well defined.

The cupferron method, which is an excellent one, precipitates both zirconium and titanium together, iron having been previously removed. The solution should have 10% of free sulfuric acid present. If the original material contains only a few per cent. of phosphoric acid, a negligible amount of the latter will be found in the precipitate. The amount of titanium dioxide present must be determined either colorimetrically by hydrogen peroxide or by zinc reduction and subsequent titration. Tantalum and columbium, which occur in some varieties of zirkite, are precipitated by cupferron in the presence of large amounts of conc. sulfuric acid. It is, therefore, necessary to make sure that these elements are absent.